Infrared Colloidal Quantum Dot Photovoltaics via Coupling Enhancement and Agglomeration Suppression
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ABSTRACT  Materials optimized for single-junction solar spectral harvesting, such as silicon, perovskites, and large-band-gap colloidal quantum dot solids, fail to absorb the considerable infrared spectral energy that lies below their respective band gap. Here we explore through modeling and experiment the potential for colloidal quantum dots (CQDs) to augment the performance of solar cells by harnessing transmitted light in the infrared. Through detailed balance modeling, we identify the CQD band gap that is best able to augment wafer-based, thin-film, and also solution-processed photovoltaic (PV) materials. The required quantum dots, with an excitonic peak at 1.3 μm, have not previously been studied in depth for solar performance. Using computational studies we find that a new ligand scheme distinct from that employed in better-explored 0.95 μm band gap PbS CQDs is necessary; only via the solution-phase application of a short bromothiol can we prevent dot fusion during ensuing solid-state film treatments and simultaneously offer a high valence band-edge density of states to enhance hole transport. Photoluminescence spectra and transient studies confirm the desired narrowed emission peaks and reduced surface-trap-associated decay. Electronic characterization reveals that only through the use of the bromothiol ligands is strong hole transport retained. The films, when used to make PV devices, achieve the highest AM1.5 power conversion efficiency yet reported in a solution-processed material having a sub-1 eV band gap.
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Silicon solar cells dominate solar photovoltaics (PV), with laboratory power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) that reach 25%. Thin-film CdTe and CuInGaSe (CIGS) cells have also demonstrated high efficiencies and are commercially available. Emerging solution-processed PV materials such as polymers and dyes have been developed as lower cost alternatives. Most recently, solution-processed perovskite solar cells have gained significant attention, and their certified efficiencies have rapidly increased to over 20%.5–8

Each of these cells leaves a large portion of the available solar spectrum untapped. Half of available photons reside in the infrared (IR), presenting a large opportunity to improve spectral utilization.9 High-efficiency III–V multijunction cells absorb this region but require costly wafer growth techniques and complex concentrator and tracking systems. Many low-cost solution-processed materials are transparent in this region, as even the narrowest-band-gap polymers and dyes have absorption onsets near 960 and 800 nm wavelengths, respectively.11,12 Highly efficient perovskite cells have absorption onsets at wavelengths shorter than 850 nm.13,14

Colloidal quantum dots (CQDs) are a solution-processed material that can potentially overcome these limitations. CQDs have a band gap that is tunable via the quantum size effect. The absorption onset can be
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simply and precisely tuned across the solar spectrum.\textsuperscript{15}

Because CQDs are synthesized in solution, they are amenable to rapid, large-area fabrication on lightweight, flexible substrates.\textsuperscript{16,17} Performance advances have focused on the passivation of trap states\textsuperscript{18–21} as well as development of device structures for optical absorption enhancement\textsuperscript{22–24} The efficiency of CQD cells has thereby been advanced to certified efficiencies approaching 10%.\textsuperscript{25,26} In addition to their promise as single-junction devices, CQD solar cells are attractive as a low-cost, IR-absorbing material that could be combined with other PV materials that lack infrared spectral response.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We begin using a detailed balance approach to select a CQD band gap that will combine well with a variety of systems. Specifically, we considered crystalline silicon and methylammonium lead halide perovskite front absorbers due to their commercial ubiquity and rapid rise in efficiency, respectively. In Figure 1a bromide perovskite refers to CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}PbBr\textsubscript{3}, while iodide perovskite refers to CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}PbI\textsubscript{3}. Figure 1b shows the available short-circuit photocurrent density ($J_{SC}$) for CQD cells as a function of CQD band gap for different front cells. Notably, there is sufficient light available to current-match to perovskites in a series-connected tandem, while the same is not true for the smaller-band-gap silicon cell. There is also enough subgap photocurrent available to current-match with the best polymer triple-junction cells (10 mA cm$^{-2}$, not shown).\textsuperscript{27,28} The best-case open-circuit voltages ($V_{OC}$) are shown in Figure 1c, and the theoretically achievable PCE is shown in Figure 1d. The band gap used for CQD solar cells with the best AM1.5 performance,
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Figure 1. (a) Solar spectrum (black curve) with portions accessible to labeled material: orange is a lead bromide perovskite, orange + brown is a lead iodide perovskite, and orange + brown + gray is crystalline silicon. Everything to the right of each absorption edge represents the available solar spectrum for any subsequent cells. (b–d) Detailed balance simulations for solar cells accessing the solar spectrum transparent to the front cells identified in (a) according to the same color scheme including (b) short-circuit current density ($J_{SC}$), (c) open-circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$), and (d) additive power conversion efficiency (PCE). Band gaps of interest are marked here. $E_1$ corresponds to $\sim$950 nm, the optimized single-junction band gap. $E_2$ corresponds to 1300 nm, the edge of a broad peak for the lead bromide perovskite case, an absolute maximum for the lead iodide perovskite case, and a local maximum with little compromise to total efficiency in the crystalline silicon case. $E_3$ represents 1770 nm, the optimal band gap for integration with silicon.
950 nm, is marked as $E_g$1. The bromide perovskite allows a maximal additive CQD PCE with a band gap in the range 900 to 1300 nm, with a peak of 22 additive power points at 1100 nm. The iodide perovskite peaks with approximately 12 power points for a 1300 nm band gap, noted in Figure 1d as $E_g$2, with shoulders that extend from 1100 to 1800 nm. The silicon case also shows a local maximum at about 1300 nm (6 additive power points), although the peak PCE is achieved at 1770 nm, marked as $E_g$3. The broad maxima in these curves arise due to the dips in the AM1.5G spectrum caused by atmospheric water absorption of sunlight. Decreasing the band gap in these regions results in potential $V_{OC}$ loss with insufficient benefit to $J_{SC}$.

In light of these findings, we focused on developing the 1.3 $\mu$m band gap ($E_g$2) CQD solid. We built our devices based on the fully developed depleted heterojunction structure. Figure 2a shows a cross-sectional SEM image of the device, and Figure 2b shows 2D and its corresponding 3D device schematics. First, a very thin layer of the polyethylenimine ethoxylated (PEIE) was spin-coated over the pre-etched indium—tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass substrate, after which we applied a 50 nm thick layer of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs). The PEIE/TiO$_2$ stack formed low-temperature electron-acceptor layer. We have found that PEIE not only makes the charge collection at the electrode more efficient through lowering the barrier between ITO and TiO$_2$ NPs but also improves the adhesion.
of the TiO₂ and CQD layers substantially and hence yields a better quality film. A lead sulfide CQD film with a thickness of over 500 nm was used as the absorber. The thickness was optimized to maximize the absorption while allowing efficient charge collection.

Mercaptpropionic acid (MPA) was used to replace the poorly conductive, as-synthesized oleic acid (OA) ligands in a solid-state ligand exchange process. A thin layer of molybdenum oxide (MoO₃) was used as the hole collector. Gold and silver (140 nm combined) were used to form the top electrode. Figure 2c shows schematically the conduction band-edge density of states (DOS) of the PbS CQD as a function of its absorption onset and compares it to the electron affinity of TiO₂ for the band gaps of interest from Figure 1d. For the optimal CQD single-junction band gap (E₂), a favorable offset allows electron injection into the TiO₂ even for a broad distribution of band-edge states, while at E₃ a large barrier between the CQD conduction band and that of TiO₂ prevents electron transfer. The conduction band edge of optimal E₂ or ~1300 nm PbS CQDs lies at the threshold of efficient electron injection: carriers in tail states would not be extracted.

We were therefore particularly attentive to possible agglomeration of CQDs, as these particles would have a narrower band gap and their conduction band would lie below that of the electron acceptor. As shown schematically in Figure 3a (top), exposing the surface of adjacent CQDs during solid-state ligand exchange can lead to fusion, creating larger nanoparticles with smaller band gaps.33–36 The fusing of nanocrystal surfaces has been used to affect the electronic properties of CQD solids and induce ordering in films, particularly in the case of large nanoparticles with well-defined facets.37–40 While transport is improved in fused dots, the quantum confinement is reduced and the sharpness of the band edge is lost. Solid-state ligand exchange is a dynamic equilibrium process in which methanol strips oleate from CQD surfaces while shorter ligands bind to available sites. Neighboring CQD surfaces that have been stripped of oleate ligands but not yet replenished with new ligands are susceptible to necking (Supporting Information S1, S2). We sought to prevent this phenomenon following a recently reported strategy involving short thiol ligands introduced in solution.31 Since these thiol retain tightly bound on the surface during solid-state exchange, agglomeration can be prevented (Figure 3a, bottom).

Through density functional theory (DFT) modeling, we investigated how the liganding strategy affected the electronic landscape for carriers. The calculated DOS for a PbS quantum dot is shown in Figure 3b (i). The states introduced by MPA are shown in panel (ii), and their peak is near the valence band edge of the CQDs, thereby providing a reduced barrier to hole transport. On the other hand, propanethiol ligands introduce states only deep within the valence band and therefore militate against hole transport (panel (iii)). Thus, while they are able to prevent unwanted agglomeration, these ligands are expected to lead to poor interparticle conduction of carriers.

Interestingly, DFT also shows that the addition of a halide end group to the thiol ligand should prevent this problem. As shown in panel (iv), bromine-functionalized propanethiols introduce a large DOS near the valence band edge. Importantly, no detrimental midgap states arise from addition of these ligands to the quantum dot surface. DFT indicates that this bromothiol exchange should allow close proximity among CQDs while providing enough steric hindrance to prevent agglomeration, as shown in Figure 3a (bottom). While the DOS introduced by the bromothiol ligands is still over 1 eV from the band edge, the reduction of the interparticle barrier to hole transport allows for improved coupling between adjacent quantum dots. This is demonstrated using a simple 1D calculation of the Schrödinger equation for proximate quantum wells with appropriate barrier heights (Supporting Information S3).

Guided by these indications from theory, we made films of CQDs using different surface passivation schemes and investigated their effects through optical and electrical studies. All films were cross-linked using an MPA solid-state exchange. X-ray diffraction was unable to resolve a significant difference in the films due to the small particle size and low overall amount of necking (Supporting Information S4). Thus, we investigated the steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectrum of each film (Figure 3c). One film had no solution-phase ligand treatment, one involved a hybrid passivation approach using CdCl₂ solution-phase treatment, and the final film combined the CdCl₂ treatment with a solution-phase bromothiol exchange.

All films exhibited a PL peak at approximately 1375 nm, although the untreated film exhibited much weaker emission, suggestive of low photoluminescence quantum yield due to unpassivated surface traps.19 Both the untreated and CdCl₂-only films displayed a broadened peak with a tail on the long-wavelength side. This is consistent with the existence of a small, but significant population of agglomerated CQDs that have a narrower band gap than the parent population.36 These agglomerates are optically active and thus are apparent in the PL spectra. The PL spectrum of the film with bromothiol ligands has a half-width at half-maximum approximately 20 meV narrower, indicating that the ligands were able to suppress the fusion of CQDs into larger nanoparticles. A similar effect is seen in the long-wavelength tail of the film absorption spectra (Supporting Information S5), although the PL broadening is more apparent as carriers tend to be funneled into the smaller-band-gap inclusions.
Figure 3. Effect of bromothiol ligands. (a) Top: CQDs can fuse during solid-state exchange, as surfaces are left temporarily uncovered. Bottom: The bromothiol ligands sterically prevent the necking of adjacent nanocrystals during solid-state ligand exchange. (b) DFT-calculated density of states for a ligand-covered CQD, separated into contributions from (i) the bare PbS quantum dot, (ii) MPA ligands, (iii) alkanethiol ligands, (iv) bromothiol ligands. (c) Solid-state PL comparing films with only MPA ligands (Untreated), hybrid passivation with added CdCl₂ (CdCl₂), and combined hybrid approach with bromothiol added in solution (Br-thiol). The absence of thiol ligands results in agglomeration, leading to optically active large nanocrystals exhibiting long-wavelength emission. (d) Transient photoluminescence decay spectroscopy of the same films, monitored at 1375 nm emission. Improved surface passivation through solution-phase CdCl₂ addition leads to a reduction in surface trap associated decay dynamics. Inset shows short time scales to better resolve instrument response function (IRF). (e) Conductivity study comparing CdCl₂-treated films without solution-added thiols, with propanethiols, and with bromothiols. Inset shows bar plot of device resistance. (f) J–V curves of devices using different ligand schemes.
Transient PL decay measurements were then carried out on each film, with emission monitored at the PL maximum. The decay dynamics are plotted in Figure 3d, and all traces are fit using a biexponential function. We follow the interpretation presented by Moroz et al. and Kholmicheva et al. in which the transient PL signature of a coupled nanocrystal film is assigned to two components. The fast decay component, \( \tau_1 \), is attributed to the dissociation of excitons and is therefore related to the ability of charges to move between neighboring CQDs. The slower component, \( \tau_2 \), is dominated by the recombination of free carriers through charge-trapping processes. A larger density of electronic trap sites thus increases the PL decay rate and reduces the magnitude of \( \tau_2 \).

The fast decay component of each film studied here has a time constant of approximately 1 ns, indicating efficient dissociation of excitons in all of our films. The longer, trap-associated decay component, \( \tau_2 \), combines the effects of radiative recombination (~1 \( \mu \)s) and surface trapping processes, which accelerate the decay. Notably, \( \tau_2 \) for the MPA-only film (untreated) is 34.4 ns, while the inclusion of CdCl\(_2\), both with and without Br-thiol, increases this value to 95.9 and 96.4 ns, respectively. This confirms the surface passivation effect of added CdCl\(_2\) in reducing nonradiative recombination of CQD films. The relative PL brightness supports this view, with the presence of CdCl\(_2\) leading to a large increase in signal strength (Supporting Information S6).

These PL studies reveal the benefits of a combined ligand strategy incorporating bromothiols with a hybrid passivation approach. The MPA-CdCl\(_2\) treatment provides effective passivation of surface trap sites but is unable to prevent CQD fusion. Adding thiol ligands in solution prevents the formation of quantum traps and, combined with CdCl\(_2\) and MPA, produces the best available passivation.

We probed the electronic transport properties of our films using conductivity measurements on films. We developed test structures with symmetric hole-extracting contacts (i.e., Au and PEDOT:PSS/ITO), with the results shown in Figure 3e. Hybrid CdCl\(_2\) films showed a high conductivity of \( 8.4 \times 10^{-3} \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) due to strong coupling from MPA ligands and potentially reduced interparticle barriers due to CQD fusion. Using propanethiol reduced the conductivity 4-fold to \( 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \). We propose that the replacement of MPA surface sites by the insulating alkane chains leads to a reduction in their DOS near the valence band edge and a greater barrier to hole transfer between CQDs. Notably, the use of Br-thiol ligands—with the added valence band associated states—allowed the film to match the conductivity of the hybrid passivated film at \( 9.0 \times 10^{-2} \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) while simultaneously preventing fusing of dots as indicated by the narrower, bluer PL seen in Figure 3c. To ensure that the difference in conductivities was not due to our ligand approaches changing the doping characteristics of our films, we also performed capacitance—voltage measurements. These revealed that the charge carrier densities were unchanged among the samples (Supporting Information S7).

The PV performance of our CQD films was assessed under AM1.5-simulated solar illumination. The current density—voltage \( (J-V) \) curves for devices made using different ligand strategies are shown in Figure 3f. Without CdCl\(_2\) or thiol treatment, devices performed very poorly due to the high density of surface trap states. While the hybrid CdCl\(_2\) device displayed high short-circuit current \( (J_{SC}) \), the open-circuit voltage \( (V_{OC}) \) was quite low, as expected from the PL-proven existence of agglomerated nanoparticles. The fill factor was also low, likely due to poor extraction of carriers that are trapped in these small-band-gap inclusions. Addition of propanethiol ligands to prevent agglomeration increased the \( V_{OC} \), but with a slight reduction in

![Figure 4. Measured current-voltage characteristics of a Br-thiol/CdCl\(_2\) treated PbS QD device (a) under AM1.5 simulated solar illumination, (b) after using a perovskite solar cell representative filter (long-pass 750 nm filter), and (c) after using a silicon solar cell representative filter (long-pass 1100 nm filter). Inset: EQE curve for an unfiltered device. The integrated current value is also shown.](image-url)
J_{SC} and a fill factor below 0.5. The use of Br-thiol ligands instead resulted in an increase in the fill factor to over 0.6, as well as a further increase in V_{OC} without any reduction of J_{SC}. This led to a PCE exceeding 7%. Thus, the combined ligand strategy enabled us to produce high-efficiency solar cells using small-band-gap CQD absorbers.

We applied the optimized approach to devices illuminated using filtered AM1.5, thereby mimicking the effect of absorption by a front wide-band-gap solar cell. We used long wave pass (LWP) filters to emulate either a perovskite (750 nm LWP) or silicon (1100 nm LWP) front cell and calculated a mismatch factor to account for differences between our lamp and AM1.5 spectra. Figure 4 shows the J–V characteristics of the device under unfiltered (Figure 4a), perovskite-filtered (Figure 4b), and silicon-filtered (Figure 4c) AM1.5 light. The device employed the full ligand strategy using bromothiols- and CdCl₂-treated 1300 nm PbS CQDs as the absorber. The representative V_{OC}, J_{SC}, fill factor (FF), and PCE results are shown in Table 1. The reported 7.3% PCE for the unfiltered case is the best AM1.5 performance reported for any solution-processed material with a band gap narrower than 1 eV. Interestingly, the loss of V_{OC}, referenced to the CQDs’ band gap, decreases as the band gap of the nanocrystal decreases (i.e., E_g = qV_{OC} = 0.5 eV, compared with E_g = 0.7 eV for 1.3 eV, or 950 nm, CQDs). We attribute this improvement to the reduced conduction band offset and smaller Stokes shift. An external quantum efficiency curve is shown as the inset for Figure 4 that demonstrates a broad spectral response and an integrated current density of 30.3 mA cm⁻², which matches well with the value reported under AM1.5 solar simulator.

Filtering the device leads to 2.8% PCE after 750 nm LWP filter (perovskite PV) and 0.8% PCE after 1100 nm LWP filter (silicon PV), with the results shown in Table 1. An addition of 2.8 power points to the perovskite cell power conversion efficiency that recently soared to over 20% certified PCE is of potential applied interest. Silicon PV technology is sufficiently mature that an improvement of 0.8 power point over the best Si cells is also attractive. If the 6 power points in principle available to crystalline Si cells could be more closely approached, this enhancement could significantly impact power generation given the ubiquity of silicon solar cells.

**CONCLUSION**

In sum, we have demonstrated the utility of CQDs as an infrared absorber that can enhance the performance of high-efficiency PV materials. Detailed balance modeling was used to select a suitable optical band gap for use with a wide range of systems, and DFT calculations were used to develop a multiple-ligand strategy that prevented agglomeration of adjacent dots while facilitating carrier transport. Optical studies of the film confirmed that the ligand approach prevented development of two sources of electronic traps: unpassivated surface sites and small-band-gap CQD agglomerates. Conductivity measurements confirmed that the bromine-functionalized thiols are well-coupled. Depleted heterojunction devices were fabricated and achieved the highest single-junction efficiency for a <1.0 eV band gap solution-processed solar cell. The work suggests access to significant enhancements in the solar harvesting ability of the highest-performing photovoltaic systems with the addition of a low-cost, solution-processed quantum dot absorber.

**METHODS/EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Filtered Sunlight Maximum Efficiency Model.** The analytical model used to calculate the maximum achievable efficiencies shown in Figure 1 is based on the Schockley–Queisser model, specifically as implemented by Henry. All photons with energy greater than the band gap of the filtering material are assumed absorbed (and thus unavailable) except for silicon, in which the absorption profiles of typical cells are used. The maximum J_{SC}, V_{OC}, and PCE are calculated for each band gap, E_g, based on the remaining spectrum and assuming that all remaining photons with energy > E_g are absorbed.

**Quantum Dot Synthesis and Halide Treatment.** The lead precursor was prepared by dissolving and degassing 0.75 g of lead acetate trihydrate (PbAc_2·3H_2O) in a mixture of 6.0 mL of oleic acid and 27.0 mL of 1-octadecene. The mixture was then heated to 100 °C to form lead oleate in situ and also to dry the solution. After the lead precursor was made, the solution was heated to 140 °C under argon, followed by the swift injection of the sulfur precursor of 0.210 mL of hexamethyldisilathiane (TMS) and 8.0 mL of 1-octadecene. CdCl₂ precursor preparation was described previously. Bromopropanethiol (Beyond Pharmaceutical Co.) or propanethiol (Sigma-Aldrich) was mixed with 1-octadecene to a concentration of 0.5 mmol per batch. Thiol and/or CdCl₂ treatments were performed during the cooling process following previously published methods with precursor concentrations of 0.2 and 0.3 mmol mL⁻¹, respectively. After synthesis, the PbS dots were purified and extracted two times with 50 mL of distilled acetone and redispersed in toluene. Two washes using methanol were performed before final redispersion at 50 mg mL⁻¹ in octane.

**Photovoltaic Device Fabrication.** Unpatterned and prepatterned ITO-coated glass substrates were purchased from Delta Technologies and TFD Inc., respectively. TiO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized based on a modified solvothermal method previously reported. Briefly, TiCl₄ (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to 400 mL of a mixture of 6.0 mL of hexamethyldisilathiane and 8.0 mL of 1-octadecene, followed by slow addition of 0.210 mL of lead acetate trihydrate. The mixture was then heated to 140 °C under argon, followed by the swift injection of the sulfur precursor of 0.210 mL of hexamethyldisilathiane and 8.0 mL of 1-octadecene. The cooling process was followed by the slow addition of bromopropanethiol or propanethiol to the solution, followed by the slow addition of 1-octadecene to a concentration of 0.5 mmol per batch. The solution was then heated to 100 °C and refloated in situ. After synthesis, the PbS dots were purified and re-dispersed twice with 50 mL of distilled acetone and re-dispersed in toluene. Two washes using methanol were performed before final redispersion at 50 mg mL⁻¹ in octane.

**TABLE 1.** Open-Circuit Voltage (V_{OC}), Short-Circuit Current Density (J_{SC}), Fill Factor (FF), and Power Conversion Efficiency (PCE) Results for Unfiltered, Perovskite-Filtered, and Silicon-Filtered Devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>V_{OC} (V)</th>
<th>J_{SC} (mA cm⁻²)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>unfiltered</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>31 ± 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perovskite filtered</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silicon filtered</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dropwise to ethanol to a 1:4 volumetric ratio. A 2 mol % amount of NbCl₅ (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in the resulting solution. This solution was added to benzyl alcohol in a 1:8 volumetric ratio, upon which the mixture turned red. The jar was sealed and placed in an oven at 70 °C overnight (18 h). The resulting solution was slightly hazy but not opaque. The TiO₂ NPs were precipitated and washed twice using diethyl ether. The resulting powder was dispersed in methanol at 10 mg mL⁻¹ concentration and sonicated until uniform. Polyethyleneimine ethoxylated was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and diluted 100 times in methanol.

The diluted PEIE solution was spin-cast onto the ITO substrates at 2500 rpm for 10 s. Two layers of TiO₂ nanoparticles were then deposited by spin-coating — 75 μL of solution at 2500 rpm for 10 s each. Following deposition of the PEIE/TiO₂ electrodes, the substrates were dried on a hot plate in air for 30 min at 100 °C.

PbS QD films were deposited using a layer-by-layer spin-coating process under ambient conditions. Two drops of filtered CQD solution (50 mg mL⁻¹ in octane) was deposited on the PEIE/TiO₂-coated ITO/glass substrate and spin-coated at 2500 rpm for 10 s to form each layer. Solid-state ligand exchange was performed using a 5% MPA solution in methanol and spinning at 2500 rpm for drying after 3 s of soaking. The QD film was washed two times with methanol to remove excess MPA and unbound oleate ligands. Top contacts were deposited using an Angstrom Engineering Åmod deposition system in an Innovative Technology glovebox. The contact consists of approximately 40 nm of thermally evaporated molybdenum oxide, 50 nm of electron-beam deposited gold, and approximately 100 nm of thermally evaporated silver.

Scanning Electron Microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging was performed at a pressure of 6.3 × 10⁻⁴ Pa using an FEI Quanta Environmental SEM at 15 kV using a secondary electron detector and a working distance of 10 mm.

DFT Calculation. DFT calculations were done by utilizing a dual basis of localized Gaussians and plane waves in the Quickstep module of the CP2K program suite. Goedecker–Teter–Hutter pseudopotentials were employed with an appropriate 300 Ry grid cutoff. To reduce the basis set superposition errors in molecules, a localized basis set of double-ζ plus polarization (DZVP) was used. The Perdew–Burke–Emzerhoff (PBE) exchange correlation functional was used for the calculations, and when forces were less than 40 meV/Å, the structures were considered relaxed. Simulations were done for 2.4 nm quantum dots in a 50 × 50 × 50 Å³ unit cell. The quantum dot was carved out of bulk PbS where all singly bonded atoms were discarded, yielding a faceted cuboctahedral shape. A mixture of Cl and thiol ligands (propanethiol, bromopropanethiol, MPA) was used to passivate all dangling bonds on (111) and (100) facets. Stoichiometry was selected such that the charge neutrality of the dot is preserved, which is necessary to position the Fermi level in the midgap.

The input files are provided in the SI.

Photoluminescence. Spectral and transient photoluminescence measurements were carried out using a Horiba FluoroLog-3 spectrophotometer in reflection geometry under ambient conditions. The sample was excited using a 633 nm pulsed laser diode (<1 ns). The emission was passed through a 1000 nm blaze grating monochromator (iHR320) and collected by an infrared diode (<1 ns). The emission was passed through a 1000 nm blaze grating monochromator (iHR320) and collected by an infrared diode (<1 ns). The emission was passed through a 1000 nm blaze grating monochromator (iHR320) and collected by an infrared diode (<1 ns). The emission was passed through a 1000 nm blaze grating monochromator (iHR320) and collected by an infrared diode (<1 ns). The emission was passed through a 1000 nm blaze grating monochromator (iHR320) and collected by an infrared diode (<1 ns).
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**Spectral Mismatch Calculation.** The spectral power within the wavelength region of interest was calibrated using the lamp spectrum supplied by the manufacturer. The absolute power of the lamp spectrum was calibrated with a calibrated power meter and a set of calibrated long-pass filters. The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectrum of the 1.3 μm colloidal quantum dot solar cell device was measured and used to calculate the mismatch factor between the lamp spectrum filtered with a 1100 nm long-pass filter (1100 LPF) and the AM1.5 spectrum beyond 1100 nm. The spectral mismatch was calculated with the ratio of the integral of the product of the lamp spectrum, the EQE spectrum, and 1100 LPF spectrum and the integral of the product of the AM1.5 spectrum, the EQE spectrum, and 1100 LPF spectrum. This resulted in a mismatch factor of 1.5. The main error in the mismatch factor is estimated to be in the calibration of the lamp spectrum, estimated to be about 10%.

**EQE Measurement.** External quantum efficiency measurements were obtained by applying chopped (220 Hz) monochromatic illumination, collimated and cofocused with a 0.7 Sun intensity white light source, on the device of interest. To create monochromatic illumination, a 450 W xenon lamp was used, which passes through a monochromator with order-sorting power. Calibrated Newport 818-UV and Newport 818-IR power meters were used to measure power. The response from the chopped signal was measured using a Stanford Research system current preamplifier feeding into a Stanford Research system lock-in amplifier set to voltage mode. The uncertainty in the EQE measurements was estimated to be 3%.
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