Examining Structure–Property–Function Relationships in Thiophene, Selenophene, and Tellurophene Homopolymers
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ABSTRACT: Heavy atom main group element-containing conjugated polymers have attracted increasing attention in recent years. The synthesis of these compounds is generally involved, and little is known about their optoelectronic device performance. Here we examine the relationship between polymer structure and optoelectronic behavior in a series of chalcogenophene homopolymers of thiophene, selenophene, and tellurophene with well-matched molecular weights, dispersity, and regioregularity. We employ fast and slow drying device preparations to study the effect of polymer– fullerene separation on charge separation and collection in canonical bulk heterojunction photovoltaic cells. In both preparations, increasing heteroatom size leads to larger proportions of finely mixed polymer–fullerene domains. Differences in polymer–fullerene separation between preparations result in the formation of optimal morphologies in selenophene and tellurophene devices with little impact on thiophene devices. We then use planar heterojunction devices to directly examine the effects of heteroatom substitution on charge transport and charge generation and find that in the absence of polymer–fullerene mixing, devices exhibit similar diode behavior. We further demonstrate that ultrafast decay pathways unique to heavy heteroatom-containing polymers are apparent in both planar and bulk heterojunctions and thus not dependent on polymer–fullerene mixing or polymer assembly. This work directly examines the role of heteroatom substitution in defining the photovoltaic performance of conjugated homopolymers. Through single-atom substitution we are able to significantly modify polymer assembly, mixing, and optoelectronic properties. Specific emphasis on tellurophene polymers reveals relationships between polymer structure and properties that are not apparent in more traditional light-atom chalcogenophenes such as thiophene and selenophene.
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INTRODUCTION

Conjugated polymers are of great interest because of their potential as less expensive, lightweight, and flexible alternatives to traditional semiconductors. Key to the use of these polymers is a fundamental understanding of their structure−property relationships, which has proven essential to optimizing device performance. Heteroatom substitution in conjugated polymers is an approach to control polymer energy levels, optical bandgaps, and solid-state assembly. In recent years, several examples of conjugated polymers that contain main group elements such as B, Al, Si, Ge, P, Se, and Te have emerged. These polymers allow for studying the effect of single-atom substitution in conjugated polymers and how that influences properties. Yet the majority of these studies have not investigated the optoelectronic device performance of these emerging new compositions, presumably because of challenges associated with larger-scale synthesis and stability.

To effectively study the role of heteroatoms requires controlled polymerization methods to negate the influence of polymer molecular weight, dispersity, and regioregularity. Poly(3-alkylthiophene)s deserve special consideration when one considers the role that conjugated polymers have played in developing structure−property relationships. This is because...
they are the only type of conjugated polymer that can be prepared in a manner that controls chain length, dispersity, and end group functionality. Heteroatom derivatives of poly(3-alkylthiophene) have served as model systems for studying structure–property relationships in group-16 polymers. Relative to the lighter analogues, poly(3-alkylselenophene)s and poly(3-alkyltellurophene)s (P3ATe) exhibit a narrow optical bandgap, increased planarity and polarizability, and unique photophysical behavior.51

Until now, tellurophene has been incorporated primarily as a linker or comonomer or in short-chain homopolymers with broad dispersity. Homopolymers of P3ATe have received little attention because of their limited solubility and the corresponding synthetic challenges associated with achieving controlled polymerization. In 2016 our group reported a reliable route for producing P3ATe with the same degree of control that was previously observed only for poly(3-alkylthiophene). P3ATe are underexplored relative to many other classes of conjugated polymers. Herein we report the first systematic study of the full series of group 16 polymers (polythiophene, polyselenophene, and polytellurophene) functionalized with large, branched alkyl chains to ensure similar solubility. By comparing these polymers as donor materials in organic photovoltaics (OPVs) processed using identical conditions in different configurations, we highlight the impact of heavier heteroatoms on optoelectronic properties, donor–acceptor separation, and polymer assembly.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Catalyst-transfer polycondensation (CTP) was used to produce well-defined conjugated polymers as described in our previous work. In this study, a commercially available 3,7-dimethyloctyl (DMO) alkyl chain was chosen to facilitate synthesis and confer high solubility. All polymers were prepared in similar M\textsubscript{n} regimes with approximately equal D (Table 1) and high regioregularity (over 96%), as confirmed by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) (Figure S1) and \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (Figure S2). Regioregularity was measured according to literature procedures by integrating the methylene signals in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra (Figure S2a, inset).51

Devices and thin films were prepared using fast and slow drying methods to assess heteroatom influence on polymer processing, order, and performance. Slow conditions increase polymer–fullerene separation and demonstrate the influence of isolated donor and acceptor domains on device performance. Fast dried samples highlight the relative ability of each polymer to separate from fullerene using conditions designed to increase the extent of polymer–fullerene mixing. Together the two preparations reflect how heteroatom substitution changes the capacity of the respective polymers to separate from fullerene, transport charge and contribute to the device photocurrent. Fast dried samples were prepared by rapidly spin coating solutions from chlorobenzene, whereas slow dried samples were prepared by slow spin coating from solutions of o-dichlorobenzene. Consistent with previous reports, heteroatom substitution results in red-shifted absorbance in both fast and slow dried thin films relative to solutions (Figure 1).50

### Table 1. Polymer M\textsubscript{n}, Dispersity, Absorption Max and Onset, Monomer Molar Absorption Coefficient (ε), HOMO (CV), and E\textsubscript{g}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>polymer</th>
<th>M\textsubscript{n} (kDa)</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>λ\textsubscript{abs} \textsuperscript{10}\textsubscript{nm} (nm)</th>
<th>λ\textsubscript{onset} \textsuperscript{10}\textsubscript{nm} (nm)</th>
<th>ε (M\textsuperscript{−1} cm\textsuperscript{−1})</th>
<th>HOMO–CV (eV)</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{g} (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTh</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>8 152</td>
<td>−5.34</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSe</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>8 644</td>
<td>−5.28</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTe</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>10 549</td>
<td>−5.05</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In both films, absorption maxima were found to redshift between 60 and 90 nm upon substitution with heavier atoms. PTe samples exhibit significant broadening of the maximum absorption feature because of the lower-energy bandgap. Characteristic of this broadening, the vibronic structure present in all solid-state samples is most evident in PTh and least so in PTe (Figure 1). Optical bandgaps were calculated from the absorption onset in fast dried thin films (Table 1). Highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) levels were determined using cyclic voltammetry (CV) (Figure S3) on drop cast films (Table 1) and are consistent with those previously reported.23 Because of the similar M\textsubscript{n} and the high solubility afforded by the identical side chains, we attribute the differences in polymer ordering to the influence of the
heteroatom and find that it has a significant impact on assembly in both sets of films.

Polymer morphology was initially examined in bulk heterojunction devices prepared by blending polymers (PTh/ PSe/PTe) with PC71BM in a 1:1.5 ratio in chlorobenzene for fast dried films and in a 1:0.8 ratio in o-dichlorobenzene for slow dried conditions. Fast deposition conditions were optimized to ensure reliable formation of high-quality thin films free from visible aggregates. Slow dried devices were vapor annealed through evaporation of residual solvent to examine the ability of the respective polymers to assemble. The stronger vibronic features in slow dried films compared to fast samples reflect increased polymer order (Figure 1b). These conditions significantly altered polymer–fullerene separation leading to substantial differences in performance, most evident in PSe and PTe devices. From the relative intensity of the vibronic features, it is apparent that the degree of π−π stacking is reduced on substitution with heavier heteroatoms. This observation is consistent with previous reports that demonstrate heavy atoms result in larger disordered domains.52 This leads to distinct polymer assembly and polymer–fullerene mixing, most pronounced in BHJ devices prepared using PTe.

Despite the significant difference in polymer–fullerene ratios between fast and slow dried devices, the power conversion efficiency (PCE) in PTh devices is similar between samples because of minor variations in short circuit current density ($J_{sc}$) and fill factor (FF) (Figure 2). In PSe and PTe, differences are more pronounced resulting in average PCE increases of 123% and 155% for the respective slow dried devices (Tables 2 and 3). These improvements result from differences in charge collection and generation that depend heavily on polymer–fullerene assembly and optoelectronic effects of heteroatom substitution. In PSe and PTe devices, the influence of the heteroatom is particularly apparent in the $J_{sc}$. Differences in $J_{sc}$ depend on several factors, including device absorption, charge separation, and carrier collection. In all fast dried devices, excess PC71BM dominates absorption profiles, whereas slow dried devices show stronger polymer contributions (Figure 3a,c). Secondary ion mass spectrometry of fast dried devices shows that though absorption profiles appear to be primarily due to strong fullerene contributions, the respective polymers are present in the blend films, with clear signals from the respective heteroatoms (Figure S4). Though PTe has the highest molar absorption coefficient (Table 1 and Figure S5), thin films of similar thickness show the lowest absorption for PTe (Figure S6), consistent with slow dried device profiles (Figure 3c). Polymer absorption maxima are blue-shifted in fast dried devices and are similar to their pristine solution spectra, reflecting disruption of polymer order in these blends (Figure 3a). In slow dried devices, the complementary absorption of PSe and PTe relative to PC71BM increases $J_{sc}$ (Table 3). These differences are apparent in the external quantum efficiency (EQE) traces of fast and slow dried devices (Figure 3b,d). In all fast dried devices, the EQE shows minimal contribution beyond 700 nm, consistent with the blend absorption. Slow dried devices show significant contributions from PSe beyond 700 nm with minor contributions from PTe as far as 800 nm (Figure 3d).

In both fast and slow devices, EQE measurements show that PTe contributes lower photocurrent at its absorption maxima than PTh and PSe. This indicates charge generation and/or collection in PTe devices is less efficient compared to PTh and PSe. This is jointly attributed to heavy-atom specific photophysics and morphology. Previous work has demonstrated that poly(3-alkylselenophenes) and tellurophanes are capable of rapid intersystem crossing to produce triplets.27−29,54 Consistent with these findings, ultrafast transient absorption experiments and fluorescence spectra show more efficient decay for PSe and PTe with significantly decreased

Table 2. Photovoltaic Performance of Fast Dried Devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>polymer</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>$PCE_{max}$ (%)</th>
<th>$PCE_{avg}$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTh</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSe</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTe</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Average PCE of 10 devices ± 1 standard deviation ($\sigma$).

Table 3. Photovoltaic Performance of Slow Dried Devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>polymer</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>$PCE_{max}$ (%)</th>
<th>$PCE_{avg}$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTh</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSe</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTe</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Average PCE of 10 devices ± 1 standard deviation ($\sigma$).
fluorescence intensity relative to PTh (Figure 4a, b). PTe specifically exhibits no obvious fluorescence and has the largest decrease in amplitude of the bleach signal within the instrument response time, regardless of excitation power (Figure 4). Together these observations suggest that intersystem crossing is more efficient in PTe, which may contribute to its weaker contribution in the EQE of both fast and slow dried devices. Recombination losses via triplet states involve kinetic competition between triplet formation, charge separation, and charge extraction. In bulk-heterojunction devices, polymer–fullerene separation can influence the competition between charge separation and triplet formation. In PTe-containing devices, intersystem crossing and decreased polymer–fullerene separation increase the potential impact of triplet states relative to lighter analogues.

While current shows strong influence from the optoelectronic effects specific to heavier heteroatoms, polymer–fullerene mixing is responsible for substantial differences in $V_{oc}$ and FF. In fast dried devices, open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) increases from PTh to PSe to PTe (Figure 2a and Table 2), whereas slow dried devices exhibit a reversed trend (Figure 2b and Table 3). Comparison of fast and slow dried BHJ devices shows minimal variation in the $V_{oc}$ of PTh and PSe devices. PTh devices exhibit similar performance in both configurations with identical $V_{oc}$, whereas PSe devices show a 60 mV decrease in slow dried devices. The dramatic difference of 160 mV between the two device configurations in PTe reflects the stronger impact of heavier heteroatoms on polymer assembly and mixing with fullerene.

In bulk heterojunction devices, $V_{oc}$ and FF are heavily dependent on the formation of an optimal donor–acceptor morphology. In all slow dried devices, increased polymer order improves separation from fullerene resulting in better charge separation and collection, consistent with increases in $J_{sc}$ and FF. These effects are least evident in PTh, which has the greatest capacity to separate from fullerene regardless of deposition conditions. The effects of donor–acceptor separation are more pronounced in the fast dried devices based on PSe and PTe. In the slow dried BHJ devices, the decrease in $V_{oc}$ from PTh to PSe to PTe is consistent with the CV-derived HOMO levels of the respective polymers, the greater separation of polymer and fullerene afforded by slow-drying, and with the increased order in the polymers evident in the absorption spectra (Figure 1b). In contrast, in fast dried devices, polymer and fullerene separation is limited by the polymer’s ability to form ordered domains. In these devices, $V_{oc}$ follows a reverse trend. Increased polymer disorder has been shown to increase $V_{oc}$ because of changes in polymer–fullerene mixing and electronic properties. Increased intermixing in PTe is consistent with previous reports examining analogues of poly(thiophene) and selenophene.
We suggest that the increase in $V_{oc}$ in fast dried PSe and PTe devices relative to slow dried devices results from changes in polymer electronics and mixing due to disorder. Wide-angle X-ray scattering plots demonstrate that all polymers exhibit pronounced long-range out-of-plane order in slow dried device films (Figure S7). In these samples the fwhm increases with heavy atom substitution, suggesting smaller and more defective crystalline domains are formed. Consistent with previous reports, we find that heavier atoms decrease out-of-plane $d$-spacing, reflecting increased planarity in PSe and PTe (Table S1). While all samples show ordered domains by WAXS, the decrease in $\pi-\pi$ stacking observed in heavy atom-containing polymers (Figure 1b) suggests a fraction of disordered phase is present. These results agree with previous findings that demonstrate $\pi-\pi$ stacking decreases with heavier atoms and corresponds to a larger disordered fraction. While these differences increase $V_{oc}$ relative to slow dried conditions, poor percolation and excessive intermixing between the polymer and fullerene limit FF and $J_{sc}$ modestly in PSe and significantly in PTe. The low FF and abnormal $J-V$ trace of fast dried PTe devices indicate nonoptimal morphology and suggest significant strong resistive effects are present in PTe devices. However, dark $J-V$ traces of the respective devices show little evidence of anomalous diode behavior (Figure S8).

To demonstrate the impact of heteroatom substitution on polymer–fullerene blending we studied devices using a combination of atomic force microscopy (AFM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Both AFM and TEM of fast dried devices demonstrate that donor–acceptor phase separation increases from PTe to PSe to PTh (Figures 5a and S9). The large clusters present in both PTh and PSe devices were identified by kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM) as PC$_{71}$BM aggregates, coated with a thin layer of polymer (Figure S10). The presence of large PC$_{71}$BM domains indicates polymer–fullerene separation, allowing for current collection, resulting in higher $J_{sc}$, FF, and EQE for PTh and PSe fast dried devices. In PSe devices, the PC$_{71}$BM aggregates are substantially smaller than in PTh, suggesting that similar to tellurium, selenium limits the growth of PC$_{71}$BM domains during fast deposition. In stark contrast to the two lighter polymers, fast dried PTe device surfaces (AFM) and bulk (TEM) do not contain PC$_{71}$BM aggregates, indicating very minor phase separation (Figures 5a and S9–S11a) and fine intermixing. Though the large aggregates of polymer-coated PC$_{71}$BM observed for PTh are not ideal and can act as recombination sites, the absence of sufficient donor–acceptor phase separation in PTe films limits charge extraction from the bulk and introduces local trap sites, resulting in strong light-induced resistive effects.

Increased order is evident for all polymers in slow dried devices (Figures 5b and S11b), improving carrier collection, transport, and extraction. In PSe and PTe, this is clear from significant improvements in $J_{sc}$, FF, and PCE. Increased FF in these devices can be attributed to improved charge transport in ordered polymers and optimal polymer–fullerene separation compared to fast dried samples. Topography, phase, and KPFM images show polymer-coated PC$_{71}$BM aggregates remain a prominent feature of PTh samples in slow dried devices (Figures 5b, S11b, and S12). These subsurface PC$_{71}$BM clusters withdraw electrons from the polymer coating at the upper interface, producing hole-enriched polymer skins adjacent to the aluminum cathode which limit electron extraction. The presence of fullerene aggregates in both fast and slow dried devices reinforces that PTh exhibits similar polymer–fullerene separation regardless of preparation. In contrast, PSe and PTe phase and KPFM images show minimal aggregation of PC$_{71}$BM with pronounced fiber-like polymer nanostructures. This morphology and nanoscale assembly results in substantial improvements to the overall performance of slow dried PSe and PTe devices through
complementary absorption with fullerene and improved charge collection.

To further examine the impact of these heteroatom substitutions, planar heterojunction devices (PHJs) were prepared using thin layers of polymer (∼15 nm) and C₆₀ (30 nm). These devices highlight the impact of polymer properties in the absence of the complex polymer–fullerene mixing found in BHJs. In PHJ devices, PTe exhibits the lowest $J_{sc}$ and shows minimal contribution to the EQE at peak polymer absorption (∼700 nm) (Figure 6), with the majority of current contribution derived from C₆₀ (Table 4). As observed in BHJ devices, polymer contribution to EQE in PHJs is considerably lower for PSe and PTe. Despite the similar thickness, absorption intensity, and identical device design of the PHJ cells, it is clear that heteroatom substitution impacts performance independent of blend morphology and that this effect becomes more prominent as heavier heteroatoms are introduced.

In PHJs, the trends in $V_{oc}$ and FF are similar to slow dried devices. As planar devices do not involve complex mixing, these devices directly reflect the polymer properties and suggest efficient and balanced transport is maintained with heteroatom substitution. Together, PHJ and BHJ devices help establish the role of heteroatom substitution in modifying
optoelectronic behavior and polymer–fullerene assembly. Further examination of this series of polymers will improve rational design of optimal polymer–fullerene separation to simultaneously maximize $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$, and FF and will serve as a model system for studying the role of ultrafast decay pathways in organic photovoltaics.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have performed the first systematic study of the full series of group 16 polymers in organic photovoltaic devices. Poly(3-alkylthiophene), selenophene, and tellurophene were prepared with similar molecular weight, narrow dispersity, and high regioregularity using controlled polymerization. The effects of heteroatom substitution were examined in bulk and planar heterojunction organic photovoltaics. Two device preparation procedures (fast and slow dried) were used to prepare different bulk heterojunctions from each polymer. The increased mixing of heavy atom-containing PSe and PTe polymers with fullerene results in drastic differences in performance between fast and slow dried bulk heterojunctions when compared to PTh, which is relatively insensitive to drying procedures. Planar heterojunction devices were used to negate the impact of polymer–fullerene mixing and highlight the specific optoelectronic properties resulting from heteroatom substitution. From these devices we observe a reduced contribution to photocurrent that is more apparent with increasing atomic number. On the other hand, heavy atom-containing polymers show improved voltage of OPVs by controlling polymer order and mixing with fullerene. Planar heterojunction devices were prepared by dissolving group 16 polymers in o-dichlorobenzene at 15 mg mL$^{-1}$ for films followed by mixing with PC$_{60}$BM in a 1:0.8 ratio (Polymer:PC$_{60}$BM) for devices. Fast dried device solutions were stirred for 3 h at 60 °C prior to casting. Slow dried device solutions were stirred for 3 h at 80 °C prior to casting. All solutions were passed through 0.45 μm PVDF syringe filters immediately prior to spin-casting and were cast at 2000 rpm for 30 s for planar and fast dried devices and 800 rpm for 30 s for slow dried devices. Bulk heterojunction photovoltaic devices were completed by deposition of LiF (1 nm) and Al (100 nm). Planar heterojunction devices were completed by deposition of C$_{60}$ (30 nm) followed by deposition of Al (100 nm). C$_{60}$ was deposited using an Angstrom Engineering (Kitchener, Ontario) Nexdep at 10$^{-7}$ Torr. All contacts were deposited by thermal evaporation using an Angstrom Engineering (Kitchener, Ontario) Covap II at 10$^{-8}$ Torr. Device area was defined by shadow masking (0.07 cm$^2$).

**Sample Preparation.** Polymer and blend films for optical absorption spectroscopy were prepared on glass slides cleaned by ultrasonication in aqueous detergent, deionized water, acetone, and methanol. Fast and slow dried samples for polymer-only films and device blends were prepared as above on bare glass substrates. Thin-film polymer samples with similar thickness for absorption spectroscopy were prepared as described for fast polymer-only samples using 20 mg mL$^{-1}$ solutions to increase thickness. Layer thicknesses were measured over five points per film using an atomic force microscope. Solution samples for absorption coefficients were initially prepared at 0.3 mg mL$^{-1}$ in spectrograde chloroform and were diluted to produce five samples with optical densities between 0.2–0.8 per polymer. These samples were independently prepared for each polymer. Solution samples for optical absorption spectroscopy and fluorescence spectroscopy were initially prepared at 0.1 mg mL$^{-1}$ in spectrograde chloroform and were diluted to produce an approximate optical density of 0.1 to minimize reabsorption effects. Polymer films for cyclic voltammetry measurements were cast from 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ solutions in CHCl$_3$ on gold button electrodes. Solution samples for ultrafast spectroscopy were similarly prepared with dilution to an approximate optical density of 0.2 at the first exciton peak in a 2 mm path length cuvette.

**Instrumentation.** Atomic force microscopy measurements were performed using a Bruker Dimension Icon microscope. Optical absorption spectra were collected using a Varian Cary 5000 spectrometer. Fluorescence spectra were recorded with a Photon Technology International (PTI) Quantamaстер 40-F NA spectrophotometer equipped with a photomultiplier detector and a xenon arc lamp. Electrochemical measurements were recorded on films in MeCN/NBu$_4$PF$_6$ (0.1 M) versus Ag/AgCl (scan rate, 100 mV s$^{-1}$) with Fc/Fc$^+$ as an internal standard using a BASi Epsilon potentiostat. $J$–$V$ curves were collected using a Keithley 2400 source meter under dark and simulated AM 1.5G conditions at a power intensity of 100 mW cm$^{-2}$. Spectral mismatch was calibrated using a Si-diode with a KG-5 filter. External quantum efficiency was measured using a 300 W Xe arc lamp with an Oriel Cornerstone 260 1/4 m monochromator and were compared with a Si reference cell traceable to the National
Institute of Standards and Technology. Time of flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS) was carried out with a ToF-SIMS V instrument (ION-TOF GmbH, Münster, Germany). Spectra were obtained in high-current, high-resolution mode using a 30 keV Bi primary ion beam for analysis of a 500 μm × 500 μm area. Primary ion dose density was limited to 5 × 10¹⁵ ions/cm². Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 400 spectrometer (400 MHz). A Viscotek HT-SEC module 350A (140 °C, butylated hydroxytoluene stabilized 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene) was used to determine polymer molecular weights relative to narrow weight distribution polystyrene standards at 485 nm absorbance. Ultrafast measurements were conducted by generating femtosecond laser pulses with a wavelength of 1030 nm at a 5 kHz repetition rate using a regeneratively amplified Yb:KGW laser (PHAROS from Light Conversion). A beam splitter was used to separate the beam into two components, one which pumps an optical parametric amplifier (ORPHEUS, Light Conversion) for ultrafast pump pulses, while the other part was focused into a sapphire or calcium fluoride (CaF₂) crystal for white-light supercontinuum generation to make the probe pulse (350–950 nm window for CaF₂ to probe the PTh samples and a ∼450–950 nm window to probe the PSe and PTe samples). Both pulses were directed into a commercial transient absorption spectrometer (Helios, Ultrafast). Delays the probe pulse relative to the pump provides a time window of up to 8 ns. The time resolution of these experiments was ∼300 fs, limited by the pump pulse duration. Measurements were performed using pump powers of 2.5, 10, and 25 μW at 530 nm (for PTe), 480 nm (for PSe), and 420 nm (for PTh). The pump spot size was kept to ∼0.40 μm² for all wavelengths and powers. Wide-angle X-ray diffraction experiments were performed on slow dried films prepared as indicated above using a Bruker D8 Discover with a DAVINCI.DESIGN detector. The authors thank Dr. Peter M. Brodersen of the Ontario Centre for the Characterization of Advanced Materials and Dr. Jim Britten and Victoria Jarvis of the McMaster Analytical X-ray Diffraction Facility.
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